

FAMILIES OF SPECTRAL SETS FOR BERNOULLI CONVOLUTIONS

PALLE E. T. JORGENSEN, KERI A. KORNELSON, AND KAREN L. SHUMAN

ABSTRACT. We study the harmonic analysis of Bernoulli measures μ_λ , a one-parameter family of compactly supported Borel probability measures on the real line. The parameter λ is a fixed number in the open interval $(0, 1)$. The measures μ_λ may be understood in any one of the following three equivalent ways: as infinite convolution measures of a two-point probability distribution; as the distribution of a random power series; or as an iterated function system (IFS) equilibrium measure determined by the two transformations $\lambda(x \pm 1)$. For a given λ , we consider the harmonic analysis in the sense of Fourier series in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mu_\lambda)$. For $L^2(\mu_\lambda)$ to have infinite families of orthogonal complex exponential functions $e^{2\pi i s(\cdot)}$, it is known that λ must be a rational number of the form $\frac{m}{2^n}$, where m is odd. We show that $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2^n}})$ has a variety of Fourier bases; i.e. orthonormal bases of exponential functions. For some other rational values of λ , we exhibit maximal Fourier families that are not orthonormal bases.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractal scaling and self-similarity occur both in nature and in man-made systems such as large communication networks. The applications of this theory range from the study of biological systems to the development of models for telecommunications. To better understand this fractal scaling we examine a class of fractals constructed by a finite family of affine transformations. The iteration of these transformations produce compact fractals embedded in the real line and fractal measures μ supported on these sets. Another type of iteration produces spectra, which correspond to orthogonal families in $L^2(\mu)$.

The Bernoulli convolutions are a class of these fractal measures. They consist of a one-parameter family of compactly supported Borel probability measures μ_λ , where the parameter λ is taken from the interval $(0, 1)$. Of all

Date: July 7, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 28A80, 42A16; Secondary 42C25, 46E30, 42B05, 28D05.

Key words and phrases. Bernoulli convolution, spectral measure, Hilbert space, fractals, Fourier series, Fourier coefficients, orthogonal series, iterated function system.

The research of the first author was supported in part by grants from the National Science Foundation. The research of the second and third authors was supported in part by NSF grant DMS0701164.

the affine fractal measures, Bernoulli convolutions have been explored the most. Despite the rich literature on Bernoulli convolutions, the corresponding Fourier analysis of even this restricted family is still in its infancy.

Historically three types of questions have often been considered: For what values of λ is μ_λ absolutely continuous? For what values of λ does $L^2(\mu_\lambda)$ admit a Fourier-based harmonic analysis? When it does, what are the Fourier bases in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mu_\lambda)$? In this paper we are concerned with the last two questions. We examine infinite and recursively generated orthogonal systems of Fourier exponentials in the Hilbert spaces $L^2(\mu)$ when μ is one of the Bernoulli measures and examine when such systems are orthonormal bases.

1.1. Background and definitions. Given S a subset of $\mathbb{R}$, we will use the notation $E(S)$ to be the set of exponential functions

$$(1) \quad E(S) := \{e_s(x) := \exp(2\pi i s x) \mid s \in S\}.$$

We use terminology here motivated by classical Fourier duality. We say that S is *orthogonal* if the exponential functions in $E(S)$ are orthogonal in $L^2(\mu)$. We say that S yields a *Fourier frame* if $E(S)$ satisfies a frame estimate. When $E(S)$ forms an orthonormal basis (ONB) in $L^2(\mu)$, we say that the pair (μ, S) is a *spectral pair*, and we say that S is a *spectral set* in $L^2(\mu)$.

The measures we discuss in this paper come from the one-parameter family of Bernoulli measures $\{\mu_\lambda : \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$. In the literature, there are three different ways in which to produce a Bernoulli measure, each of which we mention briefly here. Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

- (i) [Erd39, Erd40] Let $\Omega = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (\pm 1)$ be the product space with the Bernoulli coin-tossing measure $\mathbb{P}$, and define the random variable

$$\mathbb{X}_\lambda(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k \lambda^k, \text{ for } \omega = (\omega_k) \in \Omega.$$

Then for a Borel subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$\mu_\lambda(E) := \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{X}_\lambda^{-1}(E));$$

i.e. μ_λ is the distribution of the random variable $\mathbb{X}_\lambda$.

- (ii) [Hut81] Define the two real-valued maps

$$\tau_+(x) = \lambda(x + 1) \text{ and } \tau_-(x) = \lambda(x - 1).$$

These form an affine iterated function system (IFS). The measure μ_λ is the unique equilibrium measure satisfying the invariance property

$$(2) \quad \mu_\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_\lambda \circ \tau_+^{-1} + \mu_\lambda \circ \tau_-^{-1}).$$

- (iii) [Erd39, Erd40] The measure μ_λ has Fourier transform given by an infinite product formula which we present in Equation (7).

The support of the Bernoulli measure μ_λ is the unique compact set $K_\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies $K_\lambda = \tau_+(K_\lambda) \cup \tau_-(K_\lambda)$. In the random variable construction, $K_\lambda = \mathbb{X}_\lambda(\Omega)$. For every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, the set K_λ is contained in the interval $\left[-\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}, \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right]$.

In the cases where λ is the reciprocal of an integer, the corresponding measure μ_λ is one of the Cantor measures, and its support is a Cantor set. For example, if $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$, then we have the familiar middle-third Cantor construction in which an initial interval is subdivided in 3 equal parts, and the middle third is omitted. The procedure is continued in a recursive algorithm involving a step-by-step rescaling of the measure. The limiting measure is our $\mu_{\frac{1}{3}}$.

When $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, the measure $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is Lebesgue measure on the interval $[-1, 1]$, scaled so that the total measure is 1. In this case, we can take the set S to be $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ to produce the orthonormal basis $E(S)$ for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2}})$, so we have a spectral pair $(\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})$. At the other extreme, when $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$, it turns out (see [JP98]) that $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{3}})$ does not even contain an orthogonal set $E(S)$ with cardinality more than 2.

It was proved in [JP98] that even though $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{3}})$ does not have a Fourier basis, $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{4}})$ does. In fact, the general result in [JP98] is that $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2^n}})$ has a Fourier basis $E(S)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It has been shown in [JKS08, HL08] that there can be infinite orthogonal collections of exponentials when $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$, but a recent result in [DHJ09] has shown that Fourier bases are impossible for these cases. In this paper, we take values of λ which are known to have orthonormal bases and explore the families of Fourier bases which can be formed for $L^2(\mu_\lambda)$.

1.2. Main results. We will use a spectral function to determine the Fourier basis properties for collections of exponential functions. Theorem 2.4 contains the most general setting (frames) for which the spectral function has an entire analytic extension. The lemmas leading up to this result contain detailed results of independent interest.

In Section 3, we demonstrate that the spectral function is a Perron-Frobenius eigenvector for an associated transfer operator T . The main results entail sufficient conditions under which the transfer operator is contractive and hence corresponds to a spectral pair for a Bernoulli measure $\mu_{\frac{1}{2^n}}$. First, we consider the special case $\lambda = \frac{1}{8}$. It was shown in [JP98] that given the set

$$(3) \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\text{finite}} a_k 8^k : a_k \in \{0, 2\} \right\} = \{0, 2, 16, 18, \dots\},$$

the collection $E(\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}))$ forms an ONB for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{8}})$.

THEOREM A. The set $E(3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}))$ forms an ONB for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{8}})$.

We then generalize the result to the case of $\lambda = \frac{1}{2n}$ and p an odd positive integer. The set $E(\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}))$ where

$$(4) \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\text{finite}} a_k (2n)^k : a_k \in \left\{0, \frac{n}{2}\right\} \right\}$$

is known by [JP98] to be an ONB for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}})$.

THEOREM B. If $p \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$ such that $p < \frac{2(2n-1)}{\pi}$, then $(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}}, p\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}))$ is a spectral pair for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}})$.

In Section 4, we examine cases when $L^2(\mu_\lambda)$ may not have an ONB of exponential functions. Theorem 4.1 demonstrates cases where there do exist maximally orthogonal families.

1.3. Overview of prior literature. The results in this paper relate to general Fourier duality results in analysis. This general framework includes subjects such as universal tiling sets and the dual spectral set conjecture (see [DHS09, Jor06, JKS07, JP99, PW01]). Starting with [Fug74], questions about Fourier duality have received considerable attention with respect to pure harmonic analysis [DJ07b, DHPS08, DJ09b, JP98, Ped04a, Ped04b, LW02, LW06] and with respect to applications such as wavelets, sampling, algorithms, martingales, and substitution-dynamical systems [DJ05, DJ06, DJ07a, JS07].

The special subclass of affine IFSs and their harmonic analysis was initiated by one of the authors with Pedersen [JP98]. These special affine IFSs have been extensively researched since—see, for example, [PS96, PSS00, Sid03, Den09, HL08, DJ06, DJ07a, DJ07b, DJ07c, DJ09b, Jor06, JKS07, JKS08, Str98, MS98, HL02]. While these papers place the focus on the possibilities for orthogonal sets of Fourier frequencies, there are more recent analysis of overcomplete bases, more particularly, systems of Fourier frames in $L^2(\mu)$ in the case μ is one of the IFS measures. In this case, the results so far are only at an initial stage. For example, if μ is the middle-third Cantor measure, the question of whether or not $L^2(\mu)$ admits Fourier frames has implications for the Kadison-Singer conjecture. For some of these results regarding frames, the reader is referred to [OCS02, FJKO05, HKLW07, Jor08, JO08].

2. THE FUNCTION c_Γ AND SOME ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES

Given a Bernoulli measure μ_λ , the Hilbert space $L^2(\mu_\lambda)$ will only have an orthogonal Fourier basis $E(\Gamma)$ for certain values of the parameter λ . As previously mentioned, when $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$ there cannot be more than two orthogonal exponential functions, and if $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ then the λ -IFS has overlap and the measure cannot be spectral [DHJ09]. If $\lambda = \frac{1}{2n}$ however, then $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}})$ does have orthogonal Fourier bases. Specifically, given the set

$\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})$ defined by

$$(5) \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right) := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\text{finite}} a_k (2n)^k : a_k \in \left\{0, \frac{n}{2}\right\} \right\},$$

it is proved in [JP98] that $E(\Gamma)$ is an ONB for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}})$.

We will show in Section 3 that for a fixed n , there can be a variety of such bases. We establish a condition such that for any odd integer p satisfying the condition, the p -dilated set $p\Gamma$ is a spectrum for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}})$.

In this section, we describe a real-valued function c determined by the Bernoulli measure and the set Γ . This function is a tool for determining the properties of the exponential set $E(\Gamma)$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mu_\lambda)$. We go on to show that this function has an entire analytic extension to the complex plane and also that it has a bounded derivative. These are crucial properties used in the proof of our main result in Section 3.

Let μ_λ be a Bernoulli convolution measure on $\mathbb{R}$. We can define the Fourier transform of the measure, i.e. the function $\hat{\mu}_\lambda$, by

$$\hat{\mu}_\lambda(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x t} d\mu_\lambda(x).$$

It is readily verified that the invariance property from Equation (2) gives

$$(6) \quad \hat{\mu}_\lambda(t) = \cos(2\pi \lambda t) \hat{\mu}_\lambda(\lambda t).$$

We can iterate this to achieve the convergent infinite product representation of this function:

$$(7) \quad \hat{\mu}_\lambda(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \cos(2\pi \lambda^k t).$$

The zeros of the function $\hat{\mu}_\lambda$ will be useful in determining orthogonality. We denote by $\mathcal{Z}_\lambda$ the set of all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that one of the factors in $\hat{\mu}_\lambda$ is zero, which gives

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{Z}_\lambda = \left\{ \frac{2m+1}{4\lambda^k} : m \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Observe that $\langle e_{\gamma_1}, e_{\gamma_2} \rangle = \hat{\mu}_\lambda(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)$. Therefore, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Exponential functions e_{γ_1} and e_{γ_2} are orthogonal in $L^2(\mu_\lambda)$ if and only if $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_\lambda$.*

Given a countable set Γ , we will be determining properties of the family of exponentials $E(\Gamma)$ via the *spectral function* $c_\Gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(9) \quad c_\Gamma(t) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle e_\gamma, e_{-t} \rangle|^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{\mu}(\gamma + t)|^2.$$

The following are well-known properties of the function c_Γ .

- Lemma 2.2.** (1) $E(\Gamma)$ is orthogonal if and only if $c_\Gamma(t) \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
 (2) $E(\Gamma)$ is an ONB if and only if c_Γ is identically 1.
 (3) If the set $E(\Gamma)$ has an upper frame bound B , then $c_\Gamma(t) \leq B$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
 (4) If the set $E(\Gamma)$ has a lower frame bound A , then $c_\Gamma(t) \geq A$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Denote by δ_γ the element of $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ which has 1 in the γ coordinate and 0 in all other coordinates. Then $\{\delta_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is the standard ONB for $\ell^2(\Gamma)$.

Let S be the operator from $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ to $L^2(\mu)$ defined by

$$(10) \quad S(\delta_\gamma) = e_\gamma \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

In frame theory, S is the *synthesis operator* for $E(\Gamma)$. If S is a bounded operator, then its adjoint $S^* : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \ell^2(\Gamma)$ is also bounded and is given by

$$(S^*f)_\gamma = \langle e_\gamma, f \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

S^* is called the *analysis operator* for $E(\Gamma)$.

We can now connect S to the upper frame bound of $E(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Given a measure μ with compact support and a countable set Γ , the operator $S : \ell^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ from Equation (10) is bounded if and only if the set $E(\Gamma)$ has an upper frame bound, i.e. there exists a constant B such that*

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle e_\gamma, f \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}|^2 \leq B \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mu).$$

In that case, the spectral function c_Γ is bounded.

Proof. If S is bounded, we exhibit the upper frame bound $B = \|S^*\|_{op}^2 = \|S\|_{op}^2$:

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle e_\gamma, f \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}|^2 = \|S^*f\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)}^2 \leq \|S^*\|_{op}^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 = \|S\|_{op}^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2.$$

Conversely, suppose the set $E(\Gamma)$ has an upper frame bound B . Define the operator $A : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \ell^2(\Gamma)$ by

$$(Af)_\gamma = \langle e_\gamma, f \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

Then A is bounded because

$$\|Af\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)}^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle e_\gamma, f \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}|^2 \leq B \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2.$$

Then A must have a bounded adjoint A^* , and A^* is the synthesis operator we called S above.

The boundedness of c_Γ follows from these properties and Lemma 2.2. $\square$

Throughout the remainder of this section, we assume for μ and Γ that the operator S is bounded.

The operators S and S^* relate to the function c_Γ in a variety of ways. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_\Gamma(t) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\widehat{\mu}(t + \gamma)|^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle e_\gamma, e_{-t} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}|^2 \\
 (11) \quad &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle S\delta_\gamma, e_{-t} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}|^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle \delta_\gamma, S^*e_{-t} \rangle_{\ell^2(\Gamma)}|^2 \\
 &\stackrel{\text{Parseval}}{=} \|S^*e_{-t}\|^2 = \langle e_{-t}, SS^*e_{-t} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Give the operator SS^* the name P ; this is the *frame operator* for $E(\Gamma)$. P is a bounded operator because S and S^* are both bounded operators, and $\|P\| = \|S\|^2$. Therefore, Equation (11) can be written

$$(12) \quad c_\Gamma(t) = \langle e_{-t}, Pe_{-t} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

We note that we do not know anything about the spectrum of P because we do not assume the existence of a lower frame bound.

2.1. Complex analytic properties of c_Γ . Given a measure μ with compact support and a countable set of real numbers Γ , the orthogonality and other basis properties of $E(\Gamma)$ with respect to μ are determined by the spectral function c_Γ as defined in Equation (9). In this section, we prove that the function c_Γ has an entire analytic extension to the whole complex plane, and we derive properties of the extension which will be needed later. This is not a new result, but it is perhaps not clearly portrayed in the literature. We include an overview of the proof here for the interested reader.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume there exists a bounded synthesis operator $S : \ell^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ with $S(\delta_\gamma) = e_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then the function $c_\Gamma(t)$ has an entire analytic extension to the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. We rely on a series of lemmas for the proof.

Lemma 2.5. *Let M_x denote the multiplication operator $f(x) \mapsto 2\pi x f(x)$, and let $P = SS^*$ as above. Then*

$$c_\Gamma(t) = \langle 1, e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x} 1 \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

Proof. Since μ has compact support, M_x is bounded for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We can also define the operator e^{itM_x} , which maps $f(x)$ to $e^{2\pi itx} f(x)$. To see this, use the power series expansion of e^{itM_x} to find

$$[e^{itM_x} f](x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^n M_x^n}{n!} f(x) = e^{2\pi itx} f(x).$$

When we apply the operator e^{itM_x} to the constant function 1, we just get $e^{2\pi itx}$. The adjoint of the operator e^{itM_x} is e^{-itM_x} . Therefore we have

$$c_\Gamma(t) \stackrel{(12)}{=} \langle e_{-t}, Pe_{-t} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle 1, e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x} 1 \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *The operators*

$$e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{it \operatorname{ad} M_x}(P)$$

(where the *ad* notation $[\operatorname{ad} A](B)$ means the commutator $[A, B]$) have exactly the same derivatives at $t = 0$.

Proof. We will show that the two operator functions in the lemma are analytic. In the right-hand side above, we have conjugated the operator P by the unitary operator e^{itM_x} . We will now find the power series in t associated with $e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x}$. To write the power series, we need the derivatives

$$\left. \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left(e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x} \right) \right|_{t=0}.$$

We have

$$(13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x} \right) = e^{itM_x} (iM_x) P e^{-itM_x} + e^{itM_x} P (-i) M_x e^{-itM_x}$$

because the operators M_x and e^{itM_x} commute. Factoring e^{itM_x} and e^{-itM_x} leaves the commutator $iM_x P - P iM_x = [iM_x, P]$, which can also be written as $[\operatorname{ad}(iM_x)](P)$.

Therefore, at $t = 0$, we are left simply with the commutator

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x} \right) \right|_{t=0} = e^{itM_x} [iM_x, P] e^{-itM_x} \Big|_{t=0} = [\operatorname{ad}(iM_x)](P).$$

When we calculate the second derivative, the computations are exactly the same, except now $[iM_x, P]$ appears in the place of P in Equation (13). We have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x} \right) = e^{itM_x} [iM_x, [iM_x, P]] e^{-itM_x},$$

and at $t = 0$, the derivative is $[iM_x, [iM_x, P]] = [\operatorname{ad}(iM_x)]^2(P)$.

In the same manner, the n^{th} derivative at $t = 0$ is

$$\left. \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left(e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x} \right) \right|_{t=0} = [\operatorname{ad}(iM_x)]^n(P).$$

Equipped with all the derivatives at $t = 0$, we can now write down the power series associated with $e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x}$:

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \left(\left. \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left(e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x} \right) \right|_{t=0} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} [\operatorname{ad}(iM_x)]^n(P).$$

□

Lemma 2.7. *The power series for $e^{it \operatorname{ad} M_x}(P)$ in Lemma 2.6 is absolutely convergent for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$ with respect to the operator norm. Therefore $e^{it \operatorname{ad} M_x}(P)$ is entire analytic on $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. First,

$$\|[iM_x, P]\| = \|iM_x P - P iM_x\| \leq 2\|M_x\|\|P\|.$$

By the same reasoning,

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\text{ad}(iM_x)]^2(P)\| &= \|[iM_x, [iM_x, P]]\| \leq 2\|M_x\|\|[M_x, P]\| \\ &\leq 2^2\|M_x\|^2\|P\|. \end{aligned}$$

Again, by induction, we find

$$\|[\text{ad}(iM_x)]^n(P)\| \leq 2^n\|M_x\|^n\|P\|.$$

Going back to Equation (14), we estimate the norm of the operator given by the power series:

$$(15) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} [\text{ad}(iM_x)]^n(P) \right\| \leq \|P\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\|M_x\||t|)^n}{n!} = \|P\| e^{2\|M_x\||t|}.$$

Notice that here, $t \in \mathbb{C}$, not just $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore, by Theorem 10.6 in [Rud87], the map from $t \in \mathbb{C}$ to the operator $e^{it \text{ad} M_x}(P)$ is entire analytic on $\mathbb{C}$.

Since $\|M_x\| = \text{diam}(\text{supp}(\mu))$, in our Bernoulli examples we can actually compute $\|M_x\| = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}$. $\square$

The power series for $e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x}$ is only defined for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, but it agrees with the power series for $e^{it \text{ad} M_x}(P)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the operators are the same for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x}$ has an entire analytic extension to the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Now, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.4 by showing that the spectral function c_Γ also has an analytic extension to $\mathbb{C}$.

Consider the linear functional (state) ϕ on the bounded linear operators on $L^2(\mu)$ defined by $\phi(B) = \langle 1, B1 \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}$, where 1 denotes the constant function whose value is always 1. By Cauchy-Schwarz, ϕ has norm 1 and is therefore bounded. By Lemma 2.5, $c_\Gamma(t) = \phi(e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x})$. Applying ϕ to the operator $e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x} = e^{it \text{ad} M_x}(P)$ preserves analyticity in t , since ϕ does not disturb the t variable. Using the ad representation of $e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x}$, we have

$$\phi\left(e^{it \text{ad} M_x}(P)\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \phi([\text{ad}(iM_x)]^n(P)).$$

This last expression is simply a complex function of the variable t .

Finally, we claim that applying ϕ does not change the radius of convergence of the power series, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{t^n}{n!} \right| \left| \phi([\text{ad}(iM_x)]^n(P)) \right| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{t^n}{n!} \right| \|[\text{ad}(iM_x)]^n(P)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{t^n}{n!} \right| 2^n \|M_x\|^n \|P\|. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

2.2. The derivative of c_Γ . We now give an estimate on the first derivative of the spectral function c_Γ .

Proposition 2.8. *Assume there exists a bounded operator $S : \ell^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ with $S(\delta_\gamma) = e_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\frac{d}{dt}c_\Gamma(t)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. We calculate the derivative of c_Γ , making use of the linear functional ϕ defined above. We can now write

$$c_\Gamma(t) = \phi(e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x}).$$

Then we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt} c_\Gamma(t) \right| &= \left| \phi \left(\frac{d}{dt} e^{itM_x} P e^{-itM_x} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \phi \left(e^{itM_x} \operatorname{ad}(iM_x) P e^{-itM_x} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \|\phi\| \cdot 1 \cdot 2\|M_x\| \|P\| \cdot 1 \\ &= 2\|P\| \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

which is independent of t . $\square$

We have now established that the spectral functions c_Γ are entire analytic and have bounded derivative on the real line. It follows that they have at most exponential growth in the whole complex plane. When spectral functions are non-constant, there is very little explicit information about them. The corollary below serves to remedy this by giving by applying the Weierstrass functions from the theory of entire functions to our c_Γ and exploiting the results we obtained above.

Corollary 2.9. *Let μ be a measure satisfying the condition of Theorem 2.4 and let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a countable set generated recursively and having a constant scale factor, as in Equation (5). Assume that $E(\Gamma)$ is orthogonal in $L^2(\mu)$ but that the spectral function c_Γ is not the constant 1 function. Then there is an entire analytic function G_Γ on $\mathbb{C}$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$, c_Γ satisfies*

$$c_\Gamma(t) = 1 + G_\Gamma(t) \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left(1 + \frac{t}{\gamma} \right) \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n(\gamma)} \frac{1}{k} \left(-\frac{t}{\gamma} \right)^k \right),$$

where the infinite product on the right hand side is absolutely convergent on every compact subset in $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We define c_Γ as in Equation (9) and let $D_\Gamma(t) = c_\Gamma(t) - 1$. Then the zeros of D_Γ contain the set $-\Gamma$ since for a fixed $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\widehat{\mu}(-\gamma_0 + \gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \gamma = \gamma_0 \\ 0 & \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\gamma_0\} \end{cases}.$$

This gives

$$c_\Gamma(-\gamma_0) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| \widehat{\mu}(-\gamma_0 + \gamma) \right|^2 = 1.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.7, Proposition 2.8 and [JP98] that each element of $-\Gamma$ is an isolated zero of D_Γ when c_Γ is not the constant 1 function. As a result, we apply Theorem 15.10 in [Rud87]. For each fixed γ , the Weierstrass factors are

$$E_{n(\gamma)}(t) = \left(1 + \frac{t}{\gamma}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n(\gamma)} \frac{1}{k} \left(-\frac{t}{\gamma}\right)^k\right).$$

By [Rud87] Theorem 15.10, we can write the entire analytic function

$$D_\Gamma(t) = G_\Gamma(t) \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left(1 + \frac{t}{\gamma}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n(\gamma)} \frac{1}{k} \left(-\frac{t}{\gamma}\right)^k\right),$$

where G_Γ is an entire analytic function on $\mathbb{C}$. This completes the result. $\square$

3. NEW SPECTRA FOR $\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}}$

We will now apply the results above to explore in detail the $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}})$ -basis properties of the dilated sets $p\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})$, where p is an odd integer and $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})$ is as defined in Equation (5). Recall [JP98] that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $E(\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}))$ is an ONB for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}})$. In Section 3.1, we show that in the special case where $\lambda = \frac{1}{8}$ and $p = 3$, the set $E(3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}))$ is also an ONB. In Section 3.2, we find a condition on p and n which determines whether $E(p\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}))$ is an ONB for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}})$.

3.1. $\mu_{\frac{1}{8}}$ and the set $3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})$. Let Γ be the set defined in Equation (3). Before proving that $E(3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}))$ is an ONB with respect to the measure $\mu_{\frac{1}{8}}$, we observe that $E(3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}))$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{8}})$.

Lemma 3.1. *The set $E(3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}))$ is orthogonal with respect to the measure $\mu_{\frac{1}{8}}$.*

Proof. Recall from Equation (8) that the zero set of $\mu_{\frac{1}{8}}$, denoted $\mathcal{Z}_{\frac{1}{8}}$, is the set

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{1}{8}} = \left\{ \frac{8^k(2\ell+1)}{4} : k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

If $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in 3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})$, then $\widehat{\mu}_{\frac{1}{8}}(\rho_1 - \rho_2) = 0$ since we can write $\rho_1 - \rho_2 = 3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)$, where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8})$. However, $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{1}{8}}$ since $\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})$ represents an ONB for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{8}})$. By Equation (16), $\rho_1 - \rho_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{1}{8}}$ as well, since 3 is odd, and therefore by Lemma 2.1, e_{ρ_1} and e_{ρ_2} are orthogonal in $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{8}})$. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Set*

$$\Gamma = \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\text{finite}} a_i 8^i : a_i \in \{0, 2\} \right\},$$

and consider the spectral function defined by

$$c_{3\Gamma}(t) = c_{3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})}(t) = \sum_{\rho \in 3\Gamma} \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{1}{8}}(t + \rho) \right|^2.$$

Then $c_{3\Gamma}$ satisfies the functional equation

$$c_{3\Gamma}(t) = \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{4}\right) c_{3\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{8}t\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{4}\right) c_{3\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{8}t + \frac{3}{4}\right).$$

Proof. Since $\Gamma = \{0, 2\} + 8\Gamma$, we can write $3\Gamma = \{0, 6\} + 24\Gamma$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{3\Gamma}(t) &= \sum_{\rho \in 24\Gamma} \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{1}{8}}(t + \rho) \right|^2 + \sum_{\rho \in 6 + 24\Gamma} \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{1}{8}}(t + \rho) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{1}{8}}(t + 24\gamma) \right|^2 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{1}{8}}(t + 6 + 24\gamma) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now apply the identity $\widehat{\mu}(t) = \cos\left(2\pi\frac{1}{8}t\right)\widehat{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{8}t\right)$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{3\Gamma}(t) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \cos^2\left(2\pi\frac{1}{8}(t + 24\gamma)\right) \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{1}{8}}\left(\frac{1}{8}(t + 24\gamma)\right) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \cos^2\left(2\pi\frac{1}{8}(t + 6 + 24\gamma)\right) \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{1}{8}}\left(\frac{1}{8}(t + 6 + 24\gamma)\right) \right|^2 \\ &= \cos^2\left(2\pi\frac{1}{8}t\right) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{1}{8}}\left(\frac{1}{8}t + 3\gamma\right) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \cos^2\left(2\pi\frac{1}{8}t + \frac{3\pi}{2}\right) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{1}{8}}\left(\frac{1}{8}t + \frac{3}{4} + 3\gamma\right) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

But $\cos\left(x + \frac{3\pi}{2}\right) = \sin(x)$, and the sum over Γ can be turned into a sum over 3Γ . Therefore

$$c_{3\Gamma}(t) = \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{4}\right) c_{3\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{8}t\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{4}\right) c_{3\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{8}t + \frac{3}{4}\right).$$

□

Remark 3.3. Before moving further, we note Hadamard duality (as described in [JP98]) arises in Lemma 3.2. When we work with $\frac{1}{8}$, we work in the case $\frac{1}{2n}$ when $n = 4$. Consider the set $\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})$. Set $L = \{0, 2\} = \{0, \frac{4}{2}\}$, the set of coefficients $\{a_i\}$ in Equation (3). We can find at least two sets B_1 and B_2 such that $\{B_i, L, 8\}$ forms a Hadamard triple for $i = 1, 2$:

$$(17) \quad B_1 = \{0, 2\} \text{ and } B_2 = \{-1, 1\}.$$

For the set $3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})$, $3L = \{0, 6\} = \{0, \frac{4 \cdot 3}{2}\}$; then the sets B_1 and B_2 still have the property that $\{B_i, 3L, 8\}$ is a Hadamard triple, $i = 1, 2$. In Lemma 3.2, the last equation

$$\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{4}\right) c_{3\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{8}t\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{4}\right) c_{3\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{8}t + \frac{3}{4}\right)$$

can be rewritten

$$\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4}t\right)c_{3\Gamma}(\tau_0(t)) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4}t\right)c_{3\Gamma}(\tau_3(t)),$$

where

$$\tau_0(t) = \frac{1}{2n}t = \frac{1}{8}t$$

and

$$\tau_p(x) = \tau_3(x) = \frac{1}{2n}t + \frac{p}{4} = \frac{1}{8}x + \frac{3}{4}.$$

Thus the IFS associated with $3L$ is $\{\tau_0, \tau_3\}$.

In Lemma 3.4, we find that a specialization of the argument used in [JP98, Lemma 5.1] can be followed here for the case of the set $3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})$ and the measure $\mu_{\frac{1}{8}}$.

Define the transfer operator T_{3L} to be

$$(18) \quad T_{3L}f(t) := \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4}t\right)f\left(\frac{1}{8}t\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4}t\right)f\left(\frac{1}{8}t + \frac{3}{4}\right).$$

Then T_{3L} is *localized* on the interval $J := [0, \frac{6}{7}]$ —that is, if we restrict f to J (denoted $f|_J$), then

$$T_{3L}(f|_J) = (T_{3L}f)|_J.$$

We can see this by checking that $\tau_0(J) \subset J$ and $\tau_3(J) \subset J$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{K} = \{f : f \in C^1(J), f \geq 0, f(0) = 1\}$, and equip $\mathcal{K}$ with the norm $\max |f'|$. Define the transfer operator T_{3L} as in Equation (18):*

$$T_{3L}f(t) := \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4}t\right)f\left(\frac{1}{8}t\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4}t\right)f\left(\frac{1}{8}t + \frac{3}{4}\right).$$

Then T is strictly contractive on $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof. Let $f \in C^1(J)$. We calculate the derivative of $T_{3L}f(t)$ with respect to t :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\left(T_{3L}f(t)\right) &= \frac{\pi}{4}\sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right)\left(-f\left(\frac{t}{8}\right) + f\left(\frac{t}{8} + \frac{3}{4}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8}\left[\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{4}\right)f'\left(\frac{t}{8}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{4}\right)f'\left(\frac{t}{8} + \frac{3}{4}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the last term above is exactly $\frac{1}{8}(Tf')(t)$, and that $|(Tf')(t)| \leq \max |f'|$ for all t . We can use this to estimate the absolute value of the derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{d}{dt}\left(T_{3L}f(t)\right)\right| &\leq \frac{\pi}{4}\left|\int_{\frac{t}{8}}^{\frac{t}{8} + \frac{3}{4}} f'(s) ds\right| + \frac{1}{8}\max |f'| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{8}\right)\max |f'|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\max |(T_{3L}f)'| \leq \left(\frac{3\pi}{16} + \frac{1}{8}\right) \max |f'|$, and since $\frac{3\pi}{16} + \frac{1}{8} < 1$, T_{3L} is strictly contractive.

To see that T_{3L} maps $\mathcal{K}$ back into $\mathcal{K}$, we first calculate

$$T_{3L}f(0) = \cos^2(0)f(0) + \sin^2(0)f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = f(0) = 1.$$

If $f \in C^1(J)$, then $T_{3L}f \in C^1(J)$ as well, since $\cos$ and $\sin$ are C^∞ functions. $\square$

We are now ready to prove the main result.

Theorem 3.5. *The set $E(3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}))$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{8}})$.*

Proof. We argue that the function $c_{3\Gamma}$ must be identically 1. Lemma 3.1 showed that the set $E(3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}))$ is orthogonal, so by Lemma 2.2, we have $c_{3\Gamma}(t) \leq 1$ for all t and hence the synthesis operator defined in Equation (10) is bounded with operator norm 1. By Proposition 2.8, we therefore know that $c_{3\Gamma}$ has bounded derivative, and furthermore $c_{3\Gamma} \in \mathcal{K}$.

By Lemma 3.2, $c_{3\Gamma}$ is an eigenfunction of the transfer operator T_{3L} with eigenvalue 1. Since T_{3L} is strictly contractive with respect to the norm $\max |f'|$, we have

$$\max |(c_{3\Gamma})'| = \max |(T_{3L}c_{3\Gamma})'| \leq \left(\frac{3\pi}{16} + \frac{1}{8}\right) \max |(c_{3\Gamma})'|,$$

which implies that $c_{3\Gamma}$ must be constant. Since $c_{3\Gamma}(0) = 1$, we must have $c_{3\Gamma} \equiv 1$. $\square$

3.2. Families of ONBs for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}})$. Now that we have proved that $E(3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}))$ is an ONB for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{8}}) = L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2 \cdot 4}})$, we extend this result to Bernoulli measures $\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}}$ for spectra of the form $p\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})$ where $p \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Our result depends on the contractivity constant as in Theorem 3.5.

Recall the general spectrum

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\text{finite}} a_i (2n)^i : a_i \in \left\{0, \frac{n}{2}\right\} \right\}.$$

Our generalized theorem is the following:

Theorem 3.6. *If $p \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$ such that $p < \frac{2(2n-1)}{\pi}$, then $E(p\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}))$ is an ONB for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}})$.*

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $p \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$. Set $\mu = \mu_{\frac{1}{2n}}$. Because p is odd, the family $E(p\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}))$ is orthogonal in $L^2(\mu)$, just as in Lemma 3.1. Define the spectral function c by

$$c(t) = c_{p\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})}(t) := \sum_{\nu \in p\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})} |\widehat{\mu}(t + \nu)|^2.$$

The structure given in Equation (4) gives

$$p\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right) = 2np\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right) \cup \left(\frac{np}{2} + 2np\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right)\right).$$

Therefore, we can pull apart the sum just as we did in Lemma 3.2 to find that

$$c(t) = \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{n}\right)c\left(\frac{t}{2n}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{n}\right)c\left(\frac{t}{2n} + \frac{p}{4}\right).$$

The only difference in the calculation is that $\cos\left(t + \frac{p\pi}{2}\right) = -\sin(t)$ when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\cos\left(t + \frac{p\pi}{2}\right) = \sin(t)$ when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Therefore, there is a transfer operator T_{pL} which has c as an eigenfunction:

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad T_{pL}f(t) &= \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{n}\right)f\left(\frac{t}{2n}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{n}\right)f\left(\frac{t}{2n} + \frac{p}{4}\right) \\ &= \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{n}\right)f(\tau_0(t)) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{n}\right)f(\tau_p(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Following the derivative calculations in Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{pL}f)'(t) &= \frac{\pi}{n} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{n}\right) \left[f\left(\frac{t}{2n} + \frac{p}{4}\right) - f\left(\frac{t}{2n}\right) \right] + \frac{1}{2n} (T_{pL}f')(t) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{n} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{n}\right) \int_{\frac{t}{2n}}^{\frac{t}{2n} + \frac{p}{4}} f'(s) ds + \frac{1}{2n} (T_{pL}f')(t) \end{aligned}$$

Using the same computations, we have an estimate on the derivative of $T_{pL}f$:

$$\left| (T_{pL}f)'(t) \right| \leq \frac{\pi p}{n 4} \max |f'| + \frac{1}{2n} \max |f'|.$$

Thus, we find that T_{pL} is strictly contractive when

$$\frac{\pi}{n} \cdot \frac{p}{4} + \frac{1}{2n} < 1,$$

or

$$(20) \quad p < \frac{2(2n-1)}{\pi}.$$

□

For example, when $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, only $p = 1$ satisfies the inequality above. When $n = 4$, $p = 1$ [JP98] and $p = 3$ (Lemma 3.4) work, but T_{5L} does not have a contractivity constant less than 1. When $n = 5$, $p = 1$ [JP98], $p = 3$, and $p = 5$ satisfy the inequality, and for $n = 6$ we have $p = 1, 3, 5$, and 7 all yielding different spectral pairs $(\mu_{\frac{1}{12}}, p\Gamma(\frac{1}{12}))$.

Remark 3.7. We should emphasize here that the lists of orthogonal exponentials $E(S)$ we have described in this section for the various Bernoulli measures are not exhaustive. We have only considered those of the form $p\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})$ where p is odd and satisfies the condition in Equation (20). There are a variety of sets of exponentials $E(S)$ known to be ONBs with respect to a particular fractal measure, but for which there is no known C^1 -contractive

transfer operator that can account for it. For example, in the case where $n = 2$ and $p = 5$, it is known [DJ09a] that $5\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})$ is a spectrum for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{4}})$ even though $p = 5$ is certainly larger than $\frac{6}{\pi}$. Further, this example gives an ONB for all spectra of the form $5^k\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so the odd number p can get arbitrarily large and still yield an ONB.

On the other hand, there are examples for which p is larger than the inequality in (20) and for which the sets $E(p\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}))$ are orthogonal but not complete, i.e. their span is not dense in $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}})$. One such example is for given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p = 2n - 1$. Then $E(p\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}))$ is not complete in $L^2(\mu_{\frac{1}{2n}})$, and in fact, the exponential $e_{-\frac{n}{2}}$ is in the orthogonal complement of the span of $E(p\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}))$. To see this, we take the inner product of $e_{p\gamma}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})$ and $e_{-\frac{n}{2}}$:

$$\langle e_{-\frac{n}{2}}, e_{p\gamma} \rangle = \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{1}{2n}} \left(\frac{n}{2} + (2n - 1)\gamma \right).$$

If $\gamma = \sum_{i=0}^p a_i(2n)^i$, recalling that $a_i \in \{0, \frac{n}{2}\}$, then we see that

$$\frac{n}{2} + 2n\gamma - \gamma = \frac{n}{2} + \sum_{i=0}^p a_i(2n)^{i+1} - \sum_{i=0}^p a_i(2n)^i$$

Then $\gamma' = \frac{n}{2} + \sum_{i=0}^p a_i(2n)^{i+1}$ is another element of $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})$. Since the difference of any two elements in $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})$ is in the zero set of $\widehat{\mu}_{\frac{1}{2n}}$, the inner product of the exponentials is indeed zero.

In other cases where the odd integer p is greater than $\frac{2(2n-1)}{\pi}$, it is not known whether $p\Gamma$ is a spectrum. For example, numerical approximation of the spectral function $c_5\Gamma$ when $n = 4$ suggests that $5\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})$ is not a spectrum for $\mu_{\frac{1}{8}}$, but a proof of this fact is not known.

Remark 3.8. These cases where the spectrum in one spectral pair (μ, Γ) can be dilated by an odd integer p to yield a new spectral pair $(\mu, p\Gamma)$ are surprising. This phenomenon cannot occur in classical Fourier analysis, for example, where the spectral pair consists of Lebesgue measure ν on a period interval and the integers $\mathbb{Z}$.

4. MAXIMAL ORTHOGONAL FAMILIES WHICH ARE NOT ONBS

In this section, we discuss two additional results which are motivated by the main part of this paper. They both apply to measures μ_λ for the case where $\lambda = \frac{q}{2n}$, with q odd and not equal to 1, i.e. the cases where λ is not the reciprocal of an integer. There are no known examples for such λ for which μ_λ is spectral. We concentrate in particular on the case where $\lambda = \frac{3}{8}$.

First, we explore the maximality of an orthogonal collection of exponentials $E(\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}))$ which may not be an ONB for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{3}{8}})$. Next, we develop a chain of orthogonal families connected by a transfer operator, and find that if any one family in the chain is an ONB, then they all are ONBs. We found this to be an interesting structure, although we have not yet found

an example where the structure actually produces ONBs for a particular measure.

4.1. Maximality results. In this first case, let $\lambda = \frac{3}{8}$ and

$$\Gamma = \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\text{finite}} a_i 8^i : a_i \in \{0, 2\} \right\} = \{0, 2, 16, 18, 128, \dots\}.$$

We have already shown that the set $E(\Gamma)$ is an orthonormal basis with respect to the measure $\mu_{\frac{1}{8}}$. We can also show that it is orthogonal with respect to $\mu_{\frac{3}{8}}$. To see this, recall from Equation (8) that zero set for $\hat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}$ is given by

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}} = \left\{ \frac{(2\ell + 1)8^k}{4 \cdot 3^k} : \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Given $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$, we know $\gamma - \gamma' \in \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{1}{8}}$ (see Equation (16)). We use the corresponding value of k to write $\gamma - \gamma'$ in the form for $\mathcal{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$. Specifically, we have

$$\gamma - \gamma' = \frac{8^k(2\ell + 1)}{4} = \frac{8^k \cdot 3^k(2\ell + 1)}{4 \cdot 3^k}.$$

Since $3^k(2\ell + 1)$ is also odd, we have $\gamma - \gamma' \in \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$.

It is suspected, but not known, that the set $E(\Gamma)$ is not an ONB for $\mu_{\frac{3}{8}}$. One might hope to use Theorem 3.5 in [DHSW09] to argue that the Hausdorff dimension of the attractor set $X_{\frac{3}{8}}$ will differ from that of $X_{\frac{1}{8}}$, and therefore cannot equal the Beurling dimension of $\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})$. This would require verification of the technical condition given in Equation (3.3) of [DHSW09], which we cannot do in this example. We can, however, show that $E(\Gamma)$ is maximally orthogonal in $L^2(\mu_{\frac{3}{8}})$, i.e. there is no exponential function orthogonal to every element of $E(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Given any $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Gamma$, there exists some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\langle e_t, e_\gamma \rangle \neq 0$.*

Proof. We will show that $\langle e_t, e_\gamma \rangle \neq 0$ by showing that $\hat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(t - \gamma) \neq 0$, i.e. that $t - \gamma \notin \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$. First, observe that for any $t \notin \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$, we can take $\gamma = 0$. Therefore, we can restrict our attention to elements of $\mathcal{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$: We can also write elements of $\mathcal{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$ in the most reduced form as

$$(22) \quad \left\{ \frac{(2m + 1)2^{3k-2}}{3^p} \right\},$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 1$, and either $p = 0$ or $p > 0$ and $2n + 1$ is not divisible by 3.

Since we are interested in values t which are not in Γ , we need to understand how the elements of Γ look as elements of $\mathcal{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$. Since elements of

Γ are all even integers, we know that $p = 0$. Moreover, we show that for $\gamma = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i 8^i$, if i' is the first index such that $a_i \neq 0$, we take $k = i' + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = \sum_{i=i'}^n a_i 8^i &= 8^{i'} (2 + \sum_{i=k}^n a_i 8^{i-i'}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{8^{i'}}{3^{i'}} \cdot 4 (2 + \sum_{i=k}^n a_i 8^{i-i'}) \cdot 3^{i'} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{8^k}{3^k} \cdot (1 + \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{a_i}{2} 8^{i-i'}) \cdot 3^k = 2^{3k-2} (1 + \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{a_i}{2} 8^{i-i'}) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the quantity in parentheses in the last 3 lines above is an odd integer. In fact, we know that when $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is written in the $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$ form, the odd integer in the numerator is of the form $3^k(1 + b_1 8 + b_2 8^2 + \dots + b_q 8^q)$ with $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and where again, $k = i' + 1$.

(Case 1: $p = 0$) First, consider t in the form of Equation (22) for which $p = 0$, i.e. t is an even integer. Next, we factor powers of 2 and write the remaining odd factor in its base-8 expansion: $t = 2^d(b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m b_i 8^i)$, where b_0 is 1, 3, 5, or 7 and $b_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$, we know that the power d must be of the form $3\ell - 2$, i.e. $d \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Let i' be the smallest index for which $b_i \notin \{0, 1\}$. Using the values d, i' , we construct the elements of Γ :

$$\gamma_1 = 2^d, \quad \gamma_2 = 2^d \sum_{i=0}^{i'-1} b_i 8^i, \quad \gamma_3 = 2^d \sum_{i=0}^{i'} b_i 8^i,$$

where for γ_2 and γ_3 we require $i' > 0$ and, thereby, $b_0 = 1$.

If $i' = 0$, then

$$t - \gamma_1 = 2^d \left[(b_0 - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i 8^i \right]$$

where $b_0 = 3, 5$, or 7. The quantity in square brackets is even, perhaps divisible by 4, but not divisible by 8. Therefore, when $t - \gamma_1$ is written in the form of Equation (22), the power of 2 is not congruent to 1 modulo 3 and hence $t - \gamma$ is not in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$.

If $b_0 = 1$, i.e. $i' > 0$, then if $b_{i'}$ is odd, consider the difference $t - \gamma_3$:

$$t - \gamma_3 = 2^d 8^{i'} \left[(b_{i'} - 1) + \sum_{i=i'+1}^n b_i 8^{i-i'} \right] = 2^{3\ell-2} \left[(b_{i'} - 1) + \sum_{i=i'+1}^n b_i 8^{i-i'} \right].$$

Since $b_{i'}$ is odd, the quantity in square brackets is even, possibly divisible by 4 but not divisible by 8. If all the powers of 2 are factored out, the new power of 2 is not congruent to 1 modulo 3, and thus $t - \gamma_3$ is not in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$.

If $b_{i'}$ is even, look at the difference $t - \gamma_2$. We have

$$t - \gamma_2 = 2^d \left[b'_i + \sum_{i=i'+1}^n b_i 8^{i'} \right].$$

Since $b_{i'}$ is even (is 2, 4, or 6), we can factor out 1 or 2 powers of 2, but not 3, and again have the wrong power of 2, which proves $t - \gamma_2 \notin \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$.

(Case 2: $p = 1$) This case works very similarly to Case 1, since t is of the form

$$t = \frac{2^d(2m+1)}{3}$$

where 3 does not divide $2n+1$ and $d \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Writing the odd factor $2m+1$ in the numerator in its base-8 expansion gives:

$t = \frac{2^d}{3} (b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i 8^i)$ where b_0 is 1, 3, 5, or 7 and $b_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. This time, let i' be the smallest index for which $b_i \notin \{0, 3\}$. Then, take the elements of Γ :

$$\gamma_1 = 2^d, \quad \gamma_2 = 2^d \sum_{i=0}^{i'-1} \frac{b_i}{3} 8^i, \quad \gamma_3 = 2^d \sum_{i=0}^{i'} \frac{b_i}{3} 8^i \quad (b_0 = 3).$$

If $i' = 0$, then

$$t - \gamma_1 = \frac{2^d}{3} \left[(b_0 - 3) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i 8^i \right],$$

where $b_0 = 1, 5$, or 7 . As before, the quantity within the square brackets is even and may be divisible by 4, but not by 8, which means the power of 2 when $t - \gamma_1$ is written in Equation (22) form will be wrong and hence $t - \gamma_1 \notin \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$.

If $i' > 0$ and $b_{i'}$ is odd (1, 5, or 7), then

$$t - \gamma_3 = \frac{2^d}{3} 8^{i'} \left[(b_{i'} - 3) \sum_{i=i'+1}^n b_i 8^{i-i'} \right] = \frac{2^{3\ell-2}}{3} \left[(b_{i'} - 3) \sum_{i=i'+1}^p b_i 8^{i-i'} \right].$$

If $i' > 0$ and $b_{i'}$ is even (2, 4, or 6), then

$$t - \gamma_2 = \frac{2^d}{3} \left[b'_i + \sum_{i=i'+1}^n b_i 8^{i'} \right].$$

In both instances, the quantity in square brackets is divisible by one or two powers of 2, but not three. Thus, when all of the powers of 2 are factored out, the resulting power of 2 is not congruent to $1 \pmod{3}$, so the differences are not in $\mathcal{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$.

(Case 3: $p > 1$) If $p > 1$, we take $\gamma = 2$. Recall that $d \geq 3p - 2 > 1$. We have

$$t - \gamma = \frac{2^d [1 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i 8^i] - 2 \cdot 3^p}{3^p}.$$

Since the odd integer $1 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i 8^i$ is not divisible by 3, we see that the numerator is not divisible by 3 or by 8. When we put this into the reduced form of Equation (22), we get

$$t - \gamma = \frac{2[2^{d-1}(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i 8^i) - 3^p]}{3^p}.$$

The numerator is divisible by 2, but no higher powers of 2, which proves that $t - \gamma \notin \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{3}{8}}$. $\square$

4.2. The transfer operators for $\lambda = \frac{3}{8}$. We have observed before the structure of the set $\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})$:

$$(23) \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) = \{0, 2\} + 8\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{8}\right).$$

We define a spectral function similar to those defined earlier, but now using the measure $\mu_{\frac{3}{8}}$ and summation over the set $\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})$.

$$(24) \quad c_{\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}}(t) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8})} \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(t + \gamma) \right|^2.$$

We know that $c_{\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}}(t) \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and that $c_{\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{1}{8}}(t) = 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ because $\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})$ corresponds to an ONB for $\mu_{\frac{1}{8}}$. We also know that

$$c_{\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}}(0) = 1$$

because $\widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(0 + 0) = 1$ and $\widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(0 + \gamma) = 0$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8}) \setminus \{0\}$.

Using Equation (23), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}}(t) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8})} \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(t + \gamma) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8})} \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(t + 8\gamma) \right|^2 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8})} \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(t + 2 + 8\gamma) \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

We use Equations (6) and (7) for $\lambda = \frac{3}{8}$ to find

$$(25) \quad \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(t) = \cos\left(2\pi\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right)\right) \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right).$$

This gives a formulation of the function $c_{\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}}(t) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8})} \cos^2\left(2\pi\left(\frac{3t}{8} + 3\gamma\right)\right) \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8} + 3\gamma\right) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8})} \cos^2\left(2\pi\left(\frac{3t}{8} + \frac{3}{4} + 3\gamma\right)\right) \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8} + \frac{3}{4} + 3\gamma\right) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

But $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}}(t) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8})} \cos^2\left(2\pi\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right)\right) \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8} + 3\gamma\right) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8})} \sin^2\left(2\pi\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right)\right) \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8} + \frac{3}{4} + 3\gamma\right) \right|^2 \\ &= \cos^2\left(2\pi\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right)\right) c_{3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right) + \sin^2\left(2\pi\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right)\right) c_{3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8} + \frac{3}{4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As we did in earlier sections, we define the transfer operator T to be

$$(26) \quad (Tf)(t) = \cos^2\left(2\pi\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right)\right) f\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right) + \sin^2\left(2\pi\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right)\right) f\left(\frac{3t}{8} + \frac{3}{4}\right).$$

Now, if we define $f_1(t) = c_{3\Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}}(t)$, then

$$(27) \quad f_0(t) = Tf_1(t).$$

We can iterate the previous calculation. We define

$$f_k(t) = \sum_{\gamma \in 3^k \Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}} |\widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(t + \gamma)|^2$$

and then see how f_{k+1} and f_k are related. As before, write $\Gamma = 8\Gamma + (8\Gamma + 2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(t) &= \sum_{\gamma \in 3^k \Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}} |\widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(t + \gamma)|^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}} |\widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(t + 3^k \gamma)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}} |\widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(t + 3^k 8\gamma)|^2 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}} |\widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}(t + 3^k(8\gamma + 2))|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Again, apply Equation (25) to the equation for f_k :

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(t) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}} \cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8} + \frac{2\pi 3^{k+1} 8\gamma}{8}\right) \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8} + \frac{3^{k+1} 8\gamma}{8}\right) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}} \cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8} + \frac{2\pi 3^{k+1} 8\gamma}{8} + \frac{2\pi 3^{k+1} 2}{8}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8} + \frac{3^{k+1} 8\gamma}{8} + \frac{3^{k+1} 2}{8}\right) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}} \cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8} + 3^{k+1} \gamma\right) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}} \cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8} + \frac{\pi 3^{k+1}}{2}\right) \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8} + 3^{k+1} \gamma + \frac{3^{k+1}}{4}\right) \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since 3^{k+1} is always odd, the second $\cos^2$ turns into a $\sin^2$ as before. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(t) = & \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}} \cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8} + 3^{k+1}\gamma\right) \right|^2 \\ & + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\frac{1}{8}), \frac{3}{8}} \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) \left| \widehat{\mu}_{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\frac{3t}{8} + 3^{k+1}\gamma + \frac{3^{k+1}}{4}\right) \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

If we define the transfer operator T_k to be

$$(28) \quad T_k f(t) := \cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) f\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) f\left(\frac{3t}{8} + \frac{3^{k+1}}{4}\right),$$

then

$$(29) \quad T_k f_{k+1}(t) = f_k(t).$$

Observe that the transfer operators T_k are dependent on k . Our first example, then, was $T = T_0$, since $Tf_1 = f_0$.

4.3. Implications for ONBs. With the use of the family of transfer operators T_k defined in Equation (28), we explore possible sets of Fourier frequencies for $\mu_{\frac{3}{8}}$. First, note that T maps the constant function 1 to 1:

$$(T1)(t) = \cos^2\left(2\pi\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right)\right) \cdot 1 + \sin^2\left(2\pi\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right)\right) \cdot 1 = 1.$$

Suppose on the other hand that $Tf \equiv 1$ implied $f \equiv 1$ as well. In this case, if we knew that $f_0 \equiv 1$, we would also have $f_1 \equiv 1$. In other words, we would have two different ONBs for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{3}{8}})$. In the next proposition, we show that this does hold, and in fact, can be extended for all k .

Proposition 4.2. *If for some positive k_0 , the set $E(3^{k_0}\Gamma)$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mu_{\frac{3}{8}})$, then for every $k \geq 0$, the set $E(3^k\Gamma)$ is an ONB.*

Proof. As above, we have shown that $T_{k-1}f_k = f_{k-1}$ for all $k > 0$. If $f_k \equiv 1$, then it is clear from the definition of each T_k that $f_{k-1} \equiv 1$ as well.

Using the relationship $T_k f_{k+1} = f_k$, suppose that $f_k \equiv 1$. When $T_k f_{k+1}(t)$ is considered as the inner product of the 2-dimensional real vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) f_{k+1}\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) f_{k+1}\left(\frac{3t}{8} + \frac{3^{k+1}}{4}\right) \end{bmatrix},$$

the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields for every t

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= T_k f_{k+1}(t) \\ &\leq 1 \cdot \left[\cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) f_{k+1}^2\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) f_{k+1}^2\left(\frac{3t}{8} + \frac{3^{k+1}}{4}\right) \right] \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have for all t ,

$$(30) \quad \cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) f_{k+1}^2\left(\frac{3t}{8}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}\right) f_{k+1}^2\left(\frac{3t}{8} + \frac{3^{k+1}}{4}\right) = 1$$

Suppose for some value $\frac{3t}{8}$ we have $f_{k+1}^2(\frac{3t}{8}) < 1$. Without loss of generality, we can also assume that $\cos(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}) \neq 0$ since f_{k+1} is a continuous function. If f_{k+1}^2 is strictly less than 1 at some point, it is strictly less than one on an interval. Therefore, we can choose a point from this interval where the cosine function is nonzero.

At this point t , we have $\cos^2(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8}) f_{k+1}^2(\frac{3t}{8}) < \cos^2(\frac{2\pi 3t}{8})$. Since we also know that f_{k+1} is bounded above by 1 for all t , this results in a contradiction of Equation (30). Therefore, we must have that $f_{k+1}(t) = 1$ for all t . This proves that, given one spectral set $3^k\Gamma$ yielding an orthonormal basis of exponential functions, we must have all sets $3^k\Gamma$ yielding ONBs. $\square$

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dorin Dutkay, Judith Packer, Noel Brady, and Erin Pearse for helpful conversations during the writing of this paper. The clever proof for $p = 2n - 1$ in Remark 3.7 was shown to us by Patrick Orchard, an undergraduate student at the University of Oklahoma. We are also grateful to the anonymous referees, whose thoughtful comments made this a better paper.

REFERENCES

- [Den09] Qi-Rong Deng, *Reverse iterated function system and dimension of discrete fractals*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **79** (2009), no. 1, 37–47. MR MR2486879
- [DHJ09] Dorin Ervin Dutkay, Deguang Han, and Palle E. T. Jorgensen, *Orthogonal exponentials, translations, and Bohr completions*, arXiv:0901.4115, 2009.
- [DHPS08] Dorin Ervin Dutkay, Deguang Han, Gabriel Picioroaga, and Qiyu Sun, *Orthonormal dilations of Parseval wavelets*, Math. Ann. **341** (2008), no. 3, 483–515. MR MR2399155 (2009c:42080)
- [DHS09] Dorin Ervin Dutkay, Deguang Han, and Qiyu Sun, *On the spectra of a Cantor measure*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 1, 251–276. MR MR2509326
- [DHSW09] Dorin Dutkay, Deguang Han, Qiyu Sun, and Eric Weber, *Hearing the Hausdorff dimension*, arXiv:0910.5433, 2009.
- [DJ05] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen, *Hilbert spaces of martingales supporting certain substitution-dynamical systems*, Conform. Geom. Dyn. **9** (2005), 24–45 (electronic). MR MR2133804 (2006a:37005)
- [DJ06] ———, *Iterated function systems, Ruelle operators, and invariant projective measures*, Math. Comp. **75** (2006), no. 256, 1931–1970 (electronic). MR MR2240643 (2008h:28005)
- [DJ07a] ———, *Analysis of orthogonality and of orbits in affine iterated function systems*, Math. Z. **256** (2007), no. 4, 801–823. MR MR2308892
- [DJ07b] ———, *Fourier frequencies in affine iterated function systems*, J. Funct. Anal. **247** (2007), no. 1, 110–137. MR MR2319756 (2008f:42007)
- [DJ07c] ———, *Harmonic analysis and dynamics for affine iterated function systems*, Houston J. Math. **33** (2007), no. 3, 877–905. MR MR2335741

- [DJ09a] ———, *Fourier duality for fractal measures with affine scales*, arXiv:0911.1070, 2009.
- [DJ09b] ———, *Quasiperiodic spectra and orthogonality for iterated function system measures*, Math. Z. **261** (2009), no. 2, 373–397. MR MR2457304
- [Erd39] Paul Erdős, *On a family of symmetric Bernoulli convolutions*, Amer. J. Math. **61** (1939), 974–976. MR MR0000311 (1,52a)
- [Erd40] ———, *On the smoothness properties of a family of Bernoulli convolutions*, Amer. J. Math. **62** (1940), 180–186. MR MR0000858 (1,139e)
- [FJKO05] Matthew Fickus, Brody D. Johnson, Keri Kornelson, and Kasso A. Okoudjou, *Convolutional frames and the frame potential*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **19** (2005), no. 1, 77–91. MR MR2147063 (2006d:42050)
- [Fug74] Bent Fuglede, *Commuting self-adjoint partial differential operators and a group theoretic problem*, J. Functional Analysis **16** (1974), 101–121. MR MR0470754 (57 #10500)
- [HKLW07] Deguang Han, Keri Kornelson, David Larson, and Eric Weber, *Frames for undergraduates*, Student Mathematical Library, vol. 40, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. MR MR2367342
- [HL02] Tian-You Hu and Ka-Sing Lau, *Fourier asymptotics of Cantor type measures at infinity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 9, 2711–2717 (electronic). MR MR1900879 (2003d:42011)
- [HL08] ———, *Spectral property of the Bernoulli convolutions*, Adv. Math. **219** (2008), no. 2, 554–567. MR MR2435649
- [Hut81] John E. Hutchinson, *Fractals and self-similarity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **30** (1981), no. 5, 713–747. MR MR625600 (82h:49026)
- [JKS07] Palle E. T. Jorgensen, Keri A. Kornelson, and Karen L. Shuman, *Affine systems: asymptotics at infinity for fractal measures*, Acta Appl. Math. **98** (2007), no. 3, 181–222. MR MR2338387 (2008i:42013)
- [JKS08] Palle E. T. Jorgensen, Keri Kornelson, and Karen Shuman, *Orthogonal exponentials for Bernoulli iterated function systems*, Representations, Wavelets, and Frames: A celebration of the mathematical work of Lawrence W. Baggett, Appl. Num. Harm. Anal., Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2008, pp. 217–237.
- [JO08] Brody D. Johnson and Kasso A. Okoudjou, *Frame potential and finite abelian groups*, Radon transforms, geometry, and wavelets, Contemp. Math., vol. 464, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 137–148. MR MR2440134 (2009k:42074)
- [Jor06] Palle E. T. Jorgensen, *Analysis and probability: wavelets, signals, fractals*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 234, Springer, New York, 2006. MR MR2254502 (2008a:42030)
- [Jor08] ———, *Frame analysis and approximation in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*, Frames and operator theory in analysis and signal processing, Contemp. Math., vol. 451, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 151–169. MR MR2422246 (2009f:42033)
- [JP98] Palle E. T. Jorgensen and Steen Pedersen, *Dense analytic subspaces in fractal L^2 -spaces*, J. Anal. Math. **75** (1998), 185–228. MR MR1655831 (2000a:46045)
- [JP99] ———, *Spectral pairs in Cartesian coordinates*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **5** (1999), no. 4, 285–302. MR MR1700084 (2002d:42027)
- [JS07] Palle E. T. Jorgensen and Myung-Sin Song, *Entropy encoding, Hilbert space, and Karhunen-Loève transforms*, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), no. 10, 103503, 22. MR MR2362796 (2008k:94016)
- [LW02] Izabella Laba and Yang Wang, *On spectral Cantor measures*, J. Funct. Anal. **193** (2002), no. 2, 409–420. MR MR1929508 (2003g:28017)
- [LW06] ———, *Some properties of spectral measures*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **20** (2006), no. 1, 149–157. MR MR2200934 (2007e:28001)

- [MS98] R. Daniel Mauldin and Károly Simon, *The equivalence of some Bernoulli convolutions to Lebesgue measure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), no. 9, 2733–2736. MR MR1458276 (98i:26009)
- [OCS02] Joaquim Ortega-Cerdà and Kristian Seip, *Fourier frames*, Ann. of Math. (2) **155** (2002), no. 3, 789–806. MR MR1923965 (2003k:42055)
- [Ped04a] Steen Pedersen, *The dual spectral set conjecture*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 7, 2095–2101 (electronic). MR MR2053982 (2005e:42136)
- [Ped04b] ———, *On the dual spectral set conjecture*, Current trends in operator theory and its applications, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 149, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004, pp. 487–491. MR MR2063764 (2005h:42016)
- [PS96] Yuval Peres and Boris Solomyak, *Absolute continuity of Bernoulli convolutions, a simple proof*, Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996), no. 2, 231–239. MR MR1386842 (97f:28006)
- [PSS00] Yuval Peres, Wilhelm Schlag, and Boris Solomyak, *Sixty years of Bernoulli convolutions*, Fractal geometry and stochastics, II (Greifswald/Koserow, 1998), Progr. Probab., vol. 46, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000, pp. 39–65. MR MR1785620 (2001m:42020)
- [PW01] Steen Pedersen and Yang Wang, *Universal spectra, universal tiling sets and the spectral set conjecture*, Math. Scand. **88** (2001), no. 2, 246–256. MR MR1839575 (2002k:52030)
- [Rud87] Walter Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, third ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987. MR MR924157 (88k:00002)
- [Sid03] N. Sidorov, *Ergodic-theoretic properties of certain Bernoulli convolutions*, Acta Math. Hungar. **101** (2003), no. 4, 345–355. MR MR2017940 (2004h:37007)
- [Str98] Robert S. Strichartz, *Remarks on: “Dense analytic subspaces in fractal L^2 -spaces” [J. Anal. Math. **75** (1998), 185–228; MR1655831 (2000a:46045)] by P. E. T. Jorgensen and S. Pedersen*, J. Anal. Math. **75** (1998), 229–231. MR MR1655832 (2000a:46046)

(P. E. T. JORGENSEN) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IA 52242 USA

E-mail address: jorgen@math.uiowa.edu

(K. A. KORNELSON) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA, NORMAN, OK 73019 USA

E-mail address: kkornelson@math.ou.edu

(K. L. SHUMAN) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, GRINNELL COLLEGE, GRINNELL, IOWA 50112 USA

E-mail address: shumank@math.grinnell.edu